

## Abstract

The doctoral dissertation discusses some of the moral standards of good scientific practice that are underexposed in the literature. In particular, attempts are made to correct the conceptual confusion surrounding the norm of 'disinterestedness' in science ('uhildethed'), and the norm of scientific integrity.

This task involves not only a discussion of these norms is content, but also a discussion of the theoretical conditions for their development. Thus, a number of normative assumptions of the modern debate on values in science (values in science debate) is being discussed.

The thesis concludes that this debate implicitly contains a number of insufficiently exposed normative assumptions.